SUBJECT No. 12.

Prevention of cattle lifting.

Mr. Nadig Bhima Rao, Channagiri taluk.

Summary of discussion.—The foreign dealers in hides are increasing day by day. To prevent cattle lifting by wicked people for the sake of hides, it was proposed to frame a regulation to place the trade in hides under proper control and a draft proposal was submitted as ordered. But nothing has yet been done. It is therefore prayed that early orders may be passed giving effect to the proposal.

The Dewan asked the members to say if any of them were against the introduction of the Regulation. No one was against the proposal.

Reply of Government.—Under consideration.

SUBJECT No. 13.

Over-loading of carts.

Mr. M. Subba Rao, Heggaddevankote taluk.

Summary of discussion.—Carts are often over-loaded with timber. This involves not only cruelty to animals, but also occasionally obstruction to traffic by the over-loaded carts breaking down in the way. Hence a law may be enacted to the effect that carts may not be loaded beyond a certain weight or beyond the capacity of the bullocks that are employed to drag them.

Reply of Government.—The police will be asked to enforce the provisions of the Mysore Police Regulation, 1908.

SUBJECT No. 14.

Prohibition of beggary.

Messrs. B. Hanumappa, G. Rajappa and Siddavirappa, Bangalore city municipal council.

Summary of discussion.—A law prohibiting beggary may be introduced into the State and an institution opened for the infirm, old, and decrepit.

The Dewan asked for the opinion of the Representative Assembly members. Votes were taken and except 5 all the rest were for introducing a Regulation for regulating street begging.

Reply of Government.—Noted for consideration.

JUDICIAL.

(*Nos. 15 to 44.*)

SUBJECT No. 15.

Honorary Bench Magistrates.

Mr. N. Bheema Rao, Chitaldrug municipality.

Summary of discussion.—The system of trying criminal cases by a Bench of Magistrates may be extended to all District Headquarters.

Reply of Government.—Government are considering the question of increasing such courts.

SUBJECT No. 16.

Separation of Judicial from Executive functions.

Messrs. Suryanarayana Rao, Tumkur taluk, and Ramanujiengar, Gubbi taluk, B. Narasinga Rao, Seringapatam taluk, and N. Bheema Rao, Chitaldrug municipality.

Summary of discussion.—The Revenue and the Criminal functions have been vested in one and the same officer. It is feared that this arrangement not only causes much inconvenience to parties but also prevents them from obtaining justice. Criminal work may therefore be separated from Revenue functions.